UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,379	10/18/2005	Gerhard Eser	2003P05901WOUS	3677
Siemens Corpo	7590 12/13/200 ration	EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			MILLER, CARL STUART	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3747	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Ш	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/553,379	ESER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carl S. Miller	3747
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the communication of	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 O</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 5-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite

Application/Control Number:

10/553,379 Art Unit: 3747

As a necessary initial comment the examiner notes that the applicant's amendment of 10/01/07 is technically improper and probably should have been indicated as non-responsive to the last office action. This is because the amendment has improperly indicated that claims remain pending that had already been cancelled in applicant's amendment of 4/11/07. At that time the pending claims were 7-11 and Claims 5 and 6 had been cancelled. Applicant apparently completely skipped the Claims pending at the time of the last office action and went back to the office action before that in preparing his latest response. Despite this fact the examiner has chosen to respond to the applicant's amendment since the applicant has addressed, to some degree, the subject matter of the last office action. It is understood, however, that the applicant will be required to renumber the claims pending in an appropriate manner before any claims can be sent to appeal.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 5, 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bosch (DE('900)).

In particular, as noted in the last office action, the Abstract of the disclosure read in light of the foreign examiner's comments make it clear that the system of Bosch is, at least, responsive to "stored relationships between valve flow" and the applicant has agreed to this fact on page 4 of his last response. This fact is at least "a dynamics of a

Application/Control Number:

10/553,379 Art Unit: 3747

flow of fuel through the regulator valve" as required by the claims. Even if the use of this variable is to speed up the calculation, the limitations of the claims are still met.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bosch (DE('900)) in view of Frank ('149).

Bosch applies as noted above and Frank teaches a fuel rail spill valve wherein the ball valve is pushed open by the pressure in fuel rail. Since this pressure pushes against the spring pressure one of ordinary skill in the art would have known that as the pressure in the rail rises less current would need to be sent to the solenoid in order to open the valve.

It would have been obvious to modify Bosch by using the solenoid of Frank to bleed the fuel rail and to operate it using less current as the pressure rises because the two systems were similar high pressure fuel systems.

Applicant's arguments filed 10/01/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In particular, the applicant's arguments have been addressed in the rejection under 35 USC 102 noted above.

Application/Control Number:

10/553,379

Art Unit: 3747

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl S. Miller whose telephone number is 571-272-4849. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTHF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Cronin, can be reached on 571-272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Carl S. Miller/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3747